PV and Energy Storage at FSEC Buildings

Paul Brooker

FSEC Advisory Board Meeting

March 30, 2018



FLORIDA SOLAR ENERGY CENTER[•] Creating Energy Independence

Meeting Electrical Demand: Forecasting and **Power Plants** Rehound Perior Hz 60.00-े 59.98

- Daily and seasonal variation requires day-ahead forecasting
 - Establish contracts to purchase/sell electricity for the next day
- Actual vs. forecast differences are met through reserves
- "Dispatchable" = plant output can be varied
 - Natural gas power plants
- "Baseload" = constant power output (minor variation acceptable)
- Nuclear, coal, geothermal ISO-NE forecast, actual, and record demand, June 19-22, 2012







J.H. Eto et al., "Use of Frequency Response Metrics to Assess the Planning and Operating Requirements for Reliable Integration of Variable Renewable Generation" December 2010. LBNL-4142E



FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida

Hourly Load (MW)

Commercial Electricity Costs

- Based on energy consumption (kWh) and peak power (kW)
 - Energy cost: \$0.06/kWh
 - Power cost: \$10/kW
- Power determined by averaging 30min window
 - Use maximum power delivered during billing window







FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida



FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida

On-Site Energy Systems

- Control chiller plant
 - Send request to limit output to 60% between 5 and 8AM
- Workplace charging stations
 - Turn off chargers when approaching monthly peak
- Public charging stations
 - 40kW fast charger and two 6kW charging stations
- 40+kW PV array
 - Most are instrumented and monitored at 1minute intervals
- Nissan Leaf in Vehicle-to-Building (V2B)
 - Controlled charge/discharge through 30kW bidirectional charger









Workplace Charging Limiting



FSEC demand is below monthly peak

FSEC demand is approaching monthly peak Algorithm uses a 5-minute forecast

SEC





Impact of Public Charging

- 3 out of last 6 months have had increased peak demand due to public charging
- 4-6kW increase
- DCFC not included





Impact of Public Charging

- 3 out of last 6 months have had increased peak demand due to public charging
- 4-6kW increase
- DCFC not included

	6-month cost	Rate			
Increased Peak	\$130	@ \$10/kW			
Energy Usage	\$173	@ \$0.06/kWh			
Total FSEC costs	<u>\$304</u>	<u>\$0.105/kWh</u>			
Income*	<u>\$349</u>	<u>\$0.120/kWh</u>			



Impact of PV on Building Load





FSEC



FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida





FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida

PV Impact on Demand Charges







PV Impact on Energy Charges

- Cost of energy from grid is constant: \$0.06/kWh for FSEC
- Cost of energy from PV depends on capital cost and production
 - Typically calculate a levelized cost of electricity (LCOE):

LCOE= Total Cost of PV system/Total energy produced over system lifetime

• LCOE is around \$0.04-0.19/kWh, depending on installation



PV Impact on Energy Charges

	Energy	Cost of Grid	Savings vs. LCOE		Demand	
Billing Period	produced by PV (kWh)	energy	\$0.04/kWh	\$0.10/kWh	Charge Savings	
8/7/17 to 9/6/17	4134	\$248	\$83	\$ (165)	\$170	
9/7/17 to 10/6/17						
10/7/17 to 11/6/17	4725	\$284	\$95	\$ (189)	\$145	
11/7/17 to 12/6/17	3080	\$185	\$62	\$ (123)	\$153	
12/7/17 to 1/6/18	3098	\$186	\$62	\$ (124)	\$65	
1/7/18 to 2/6/18	3814	\$229	\$76	\$ (153)	\$218	
Net Savings, including demand charge reduction \$1,277 \$59						



Vehicle-to-Building (V2B) at FSEC

- Nissan Leaf connected to 30kW bidirectional charger
 - Charger limits battery to 30-80% SOC (i.e. 10kWh can be accessed)
- Control charge/discharge from vehicle based on building load
- Need to know when to turn on and when <u>not</u> to turn on





How Much to Peak Shave?



SEC



Optimizing Storage for Peak Shaving

- Optimized peak shaving vs. battery size
 - "Best" results reduced demand without depleting battery



This point will set

the peak for the

month

Optimizing Storage for Peak Shaving



V2B Peak Shaving Strategy

- Identify how much peak can be shaved
 - Simulations suggest about 6-10% may be possible
- Identify a "Peak Threshold", i.e. when to start shaving peak
 - If the threshold is set too low, the battery will be discharged too early
 - If the threshold is set too high, we'll miss the peak
- Initially, peak threshold was set by looking at historical data
- Improvements are ongoing (i.e. develop correlations between temperature forecast and building load profile)







FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida



FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida





FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida



Impact of V2B at FSEC

- Two months saw V2B increased savings from demand charges
- One month saw V2B decreased savings vs. PV
 - Combination of discharging battery too soon and a high peak threshold
 - Battery was likely charging at same time peak was being set
- Some months saw no change
 - Peak threshold was set too high and the battery never discharged
- Challenge is limited by energy and identifying peak





Next Steps

- Currently commissioning a 4kW fuel cell system
 - 6 cylinders of hydrogen = 48kWh additional energy
- Augment V2B application for more peak shaving







Conclusions

- Several energy management techniques are being employed at FSEC
- Workplace charging can be controlled to limit peak demand
- Public charging can cause an increase in peak demand which will impact profitability
- Finding economic value of PV at commercial sites is complicated
- V2B activities are shaving peaks, but more optimization is required



Paul Brooker

Assistant Research Professor Florida Solar Energy Center University of Central Florida

pbrooker@fsec.ucf.edu 321-638-1478





Effect of Solar on Building Demand: 7-Jun to 6-Jul Billing Period



LCOE for Generation Technologies

	Solar PV—Rooftop Residential [‡]		\$138	\$222	
ALTERNATIVE ENERGY ⁽⁹⁾	Solar PV—Rooftop C&I [‡]	\$88		\$193	
	Solar PV—Community	\$78	\$135		
	Solar PV—Crystalline Utility Scale ^(b)	\$49 \$61 \$92 ^(d)	•		
	Solar PV—Thin Film Utility Scale ^(b)	\$46 \$56 \$92 ^(d)	•		
	Solar Thermal Tower with $Storage^{(\mathbf{c})}$		\$119	\$182 \$237 ^(e)	
	Fuel Cell [‡]		\$106	\$167	
	Microturbine [‡]	\$76	\$89		
	Geothermal	\$79	\$117		
	Biomass Direct	\$77	\$110		
	Wind	\$32 \$62	\$118 ^(t)		
CONVENTIONAL	Diesel Reciprocating Engine ^{(g)‡}			\$212	\$281
	Natural Gas Reciprocating Engine ^(h) ‡	\$68	\$101		
	Gas Peaking		\$165	\$217	
	IGCC [®]	\$94		\$210	
	Nuclear [®]	\$9	7 \$136		
	Coal ^(k)	\$60	\$143		
	Gas Combined Cycle	\$48 \$78			
	\$0	\$50	\$100 \$150	\$200	\$250 \$300
			Levelized Cos	t (\$/MWh)	



Lazard's levelized cost of energy analysis – Version 10.0

https://www.lazard.com/perspective/levelized-cost-of-energy-analysis-100/



Impact of PV Output on Annual Savings: LCOE = utility energy rate (\$/kWh)

- All savings attributed to demand charge reduction
- Impact of demand charge reduction limited for the following reasons:
 - Cloudy days can set a new peak
 - Early AM peaks may be less affected
- Increase East-facing PV panels could improve performance







Value of Energy Storage for Peak Shaving

- Limit to demand reduction using only PV
- Additional peaks may be shaved using energy storage
- Requires optimized PV + storage to maximize savings
 - Storage costs offset savings







Improved Battery Life

- Battery degradation increases with higher SOC
- When driven normally, SOC can be higher than when employed in V2B







Source: LLNL 2015. Data is based on DOE/EIA-0035(2015-03), March, 2014. If this information or a reproduction of it is used, credit must be given to the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and the Department of Energy, under whose auspices the work was performed. Distributed electricity represents only retail electricity sales and does not include self-generation. EIA reports consumption of renewable resources (i.e., hydro, wind, geothermal and solar) for electricity in BTU-equivalent values by assuming a typical fossil fuel plant "heat rate." The efficiency of electricity production is calculated as the total retail electricity delivered divided by the primary energy input into electricity generation. Eta sola as 65% for the residential and commercial sectors 80% for the industrial sector, and 21% for the transportation sector. Totals may not equal sum of components due to independent rounding. LLN-MI-410527

Image credit: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and Department of Energy https://flowcharts.llnl.gov/commodities/energy







Source: LLNL 2015. Data is based on DOE/EIA-0035(2015-03), March, 2014. If this information or a reproduction of it is used, credit must be given to the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and the Department of Energy, under whose auspices the work was performed. Distributed electricity represents only retail electricity sales and does not include self-generation. EIA reports consumption of renewable resources (i.e., hydro, wind, geothermal and solar) for electricity in BTU-equivalent values by assuming a typical fossil fuel plant "heat rate." The efficiency of electricity production is calculated as the total retail electricity delivered divided by the primary energy input into electricity generation. End use efficiency is estimated as 65% for the residential and commercial sectors 80% for the industrial sector, and 21% for the transportation sector. Totals may not equal sum of components due to independent rounding. LLNL-MI-410527





Typical Building loads

- Demand profiles for different buildings
 - Commercial
 - Industrial
 - Residential
- Other demand profiles to consider due to nev technologies
 - Electric vehicle charging
 - DC Fast Charging (consumer and electric bus)
- Costs associated with electricity
 - C&I = demand charges + flat kWh rate
 - Residential = flat kWh rate or TOU or demand charges?

Chart from "Plugged In: How Americans Charge Their Electric Vehicles", The EV Project, INL



Wait until low TOU rates to charge vehicles





Electric Charger Usage









Determining Battery Size

- Identifying the size and operation of storage depends on power and energy
- Average-to-Peak ratio defines required power levels



Energy Content of Peak Shaving Events

- Optimized peak shaving results in relatively few cycles
- Daily energy discharged exceeds battery capacity on a couple of days
 - Back-to-back peak shave events



Energy Content of Peak Shaving Events

- Optimized peak shaving results in relatively few cycles
- Daily energy discharged exceeds battery capacity on a couple of days



FSEC — A Research Institute of the University of Central Florida